

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/770,475	02/04/2004	Thierry Senninger	ATOCM-231 D1	1915	
23599 75	23599 7590 · 10/19/2006			EXAMINER	
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD.			LIPMAN, E	LIPMAN, BERNARD	
SUITE 1400	DON BLVD.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201	22201			
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of Abandan	10/770,475	SENNINGER, THIERRY			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Bernard Lipman	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate o period for reply (including a total extension of time (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, and the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on, but it does to the proposed reply was received on	of Mailing or Transmission dated of month(s)) which expired on _	·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fit Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	led Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which places the or (3) a timely filed Request for			
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL	and publication fee, if applicable, within -85).	the statutory period of three months			
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfor the decision has expired and there are no allowed class	erence rendered on and becaus aims.	e the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
·		Bernard Lipman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	e of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20061004			